	Case 3:07-cv-05592-RBL Doc	ument 6 Fil	led 12/14/07	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
5					
6					
7					
8	LINITED S	LATES DIST	TRICT COLIRT	•	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA				
10	DAVID GORDON CANTRELL,)			
11	Plaintiff,)) Cas	se No. C07-559	2 RBL/KLS	
12 13	v.)) RE	PORT AND RI	ECOMMENDATION	
14	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	,	TED FOR: nuary 11, 2008		
15	Defendant.)			
16	Plaintiff has submitted an applicati	<i>)</i> on for leave to	o proceed <i>in fo</i>	rma pauperis, a "Motion 2	2255
17	Checklist," and motion for extension of time to acquire copies. (Dkt. #1 and # 3). Plaintiff is				
18	incarcerated in the Washington Corrections Center in Shelton, Washington. Plaintiff does not allege				
1920	that he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. Because Plaintiff has three prior civil				
21	actions that have been dismissed as frivolous or for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §				
22	1915(e)(2), the undersigned recommends t	he Court deny	y his applicatio	n to proceed in forma paup	peris
23					
24					
25	REPORT & RECOMMENDATION - 1				

in this case under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (g). ¹

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DISCUSSION

The Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Pub.L. No. 104-143, 110 Stat. 1321 (Apr. 26, 1996) amends the *in forma pauperis* statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Section 1915(g) provides as follows:

> In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceed under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

This statutory cap on the number of frivolous actions a prisoner may bring as a pauper has been held to apply to claims dismissed both before and after April 26, 1996, the statute's effective date. See Tierney v. Kupers, 128 F.3d 1310, 1312 (9th Cir. 1997).

Mr. Cantrell has had three cases dismissed as frivolous or for failure to state a claim. Those cases include: Cantrell v. Jarvis, 93-CV-1680CRD, dismissed January 14, 1994; Cantrell v. C. McDonald, C04-344C, dismissed June 8, 2004; and Cantrell v. Administrative Subdivision, C07-5272RJB. These cases have been counted as dismissals under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). In addition, Mr. Cantrell makes no allegation that he is presently under imminent danger of serious physical injury. Therefore, Mr. Cantrell is precluded from proceeding before this Court in forma pauperis.

CONCLUSION

Because Plaintiff already has at least three prior civil actions that have been dismissed as frivolous or for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the undersigned

¹Mr. Cantrell's "Complaint" also suffers from numerous deficiencies which, assuming he elects to proceed and pay the \$350.00 filing fee, will be addressed by a separate order to show cause.

Case 3:07-cv-05592-RBL Document 6 Filed 12/14/07 Page 3 of 3

recommends the Court deny his request for an extension of time to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis in this case under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (g). Accordingly, the undersigned also recommends the Court dismiss Plaintiff's complaint unless he pays the required \$350.00 filing fee within thirty (30) days of the Court's order. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file written objections. See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the Clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on **January 11**, , as noted in the caption. DATED this 13th day of December, 2007. Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge

25 REPORT & RECOMMENDATION - 3